Hardware & Software Verification

John Wickerson & Pete Harrod

Lecture 4: Isabelle

Lecture Outline

- Proving simple theorems by hand.
- Proving simple theorems using Isabelle.
- **Next lecture:** proving the correctness of a logic synthesiser.

First proof

- **Theorem.** $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational.

Isabelle



- Invented by Lawrence Paulson around 1986. Developed ever since at the University of Cambridge and at TU München.

- Has been used for large mathematical proofs, such as the Kepler conjecture.

- Has been used to build a verified operating system! The OS implementation is about 7.5k lines of C, the proof has about 200k steps, and it uncovered hundreds of bugs in the initial implementation.


DEMO

Observations

- Use `sorry` to skip a proof.
- Use `find_theorems` to search Isabelle's database of theorems.
- CTRL+click (or CMD+click) on a name to jump to its definition.
- Use `thm` to print out a theorem. Use `thm[of x]` or `thm[OF f]` to print out an instantiated theorem.
- Refer to facts using `` `backticks` `` or by naming them.
- Use `try` to invoke the Sledgehammer.

Second proof

- **Theorem.** There is no greatest even number.

- **Proof.** To show that the greatest number does *not* exist, we shall assume that it *does*, and deduce a contradiction. To this end, suppose there *is* a greatest even number, and call it *n*. But if n is even, then so is *n*+2, which is greater than *n*. This contradicts the assumption that *n* is the greatest even number. Therefore, the greatest even number does not exist.


DEMO

Observations

- Use `moreover..ultimately` to avoid labelling each fact.

- Isabelle proofs can use the "structured" style or the "procedural" style.

- The procedural style offers various low-level commands like `defer` and `prefer`, and low-level methods like `thin_tac` and `rename_tac`.

- There are a range of automated methods: `auto`, `simp`, `clarify`, `clarsimp`, `blast`, etc.

Some constructions

- `fix` *<variable name>*
- `assume` *<new fact>*
- `have` *<new fact>* `by` *<method>*
- ~~`from this have`~~ `hence` *<new fact>* `by` *<method>*
- `with` *<old fact>* `have` *<new fact>* `by` *<method>*
- `have` *<new fact>* `using` *<old fact>* `by` *<method>*
- `show` *<thesis>* `by` *<method>*
- ~~`from this show`~~ `thus` *<thesis>* `by` *<method>*
- `moreover..ultimately`

Meta vs Object logic

- This is the difference between making a judgement about a logical statement and the logical statement itself.

- Examples:

  - For every x, if it is the case that even(x) holds and it is the case that odd(x) holds then it is the case that x=0 holds.

  - For every x, if it is the case that even(x) $\wedge$ odd(x) holds then it is the case that x=0 holds.

  - For every x, it is the case that (even(x) $\wedge$ odd(x)) $\longrightarrow$ x=0 holds.

  - It is the case that $\forall$x. (even(x) $\wedge$ odd(x)) $\longrightarrow$ x=0 holds.

Meta vs Object logic

- This is the difference between making a judgement about a logical statement and the logical statement itself.

- Examples:

- $\wedge x.\ [\![even(x); odd(x)]\!] \Longrightarrow x{=}0$

- $\wedge x.\ even(x) \wedge odd(x) \Longrightarrow x{=}0$

- $\wedge x.\ even(x) \wedge odd(x) \longrightarrow x{=}0$

- $\forall x.\ (even(x) \wedge odd(x)) \longrightarrow x{=}0$

Proof by induction

- Suppose we want to show that property P holds for all natural numbers.

- To do this, it suffices to prove two things:

  - P holds for 0 (this is called the **base case**), and

  - for all k, if P holds for k, then P also holds for k+1 (this is called the **inductive step**).





Triangle numbers



- triangle(n) = **if** n=0 **then** 0 **else** n + triangle(n-1)
- **Theorem.** triangle(n) = (n+1)n/2.
- **Proof.** We proceed by mathematical induction.
  - *Base case.* We have triangle(0) = (0+1)0/2 = 0.
  - *Inductive step.* Pick arbitrary k and assume triangle(k) = (k+1)k/2. It follows that triangle(k+1) = (k+2)(k+1)/2.




DEMO

Tetrahedral numbers



- tet(n) = **if** n=0 **then** 0 **else** triangle(n) + tet(n-1)
- **Theorem.** tet(n) = (n+2)(n+1)n/6.
- **Proof.** We proceed by mathematical induction.
  - *Base case.* We have tet(0) = (0+2)(0+1)0/6 = 0.
  - *Inductive step.* Pick arbitrary k and assume tet(k) = (k+2)(k+1)k/6. With the help of the previous theorem about triangle numbers, it follows that tet(k+1) = (k+3)(k+2)(k+1)/6.


DEMO

Observations

- Use `also..finally` for chains of equational reasoning.
- Isabelle will provide a bare-bones induction proof for you when you type `proof (induct ...)`.
- Use { braces } to delimit the scope of a local assumption.

Summary

- **This lecture:** how to conduct some basic proofs in Isabelle.
- You should now be able do the first three tasks of the Isabelle coursework.
- **Next lecture:** How to implement a (small) logic synthesiser in Isabelle and verify that it is correct.